UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,842	01/30/2004	Rabih Abou-Chakra	Q79655	3849
72875 SUGHRUE MI	7590 08/12/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			BARON, HENRY	
Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/766,842	ABOU-CHAKRA ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
HENRY BARON	2416	

This is in response to the Pre-Appea	al Brief Request for Review filed 3 June 2009.
1. Improper Request – The reason(s):	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not in	is not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. clude reasons why a review is appropriate. is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
The time period for filing a responsible the mail date of the last Office of	onse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ommunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains ur is required to submit an appeal I brief will be reset to be one mon running from the receipt of the n	ent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been nder appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal th from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period otice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date cable.
The panel has determing Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from co	
	A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of cution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>HENRY BARON</u> .	(3) <u>Seema Rao</u> .
(2)	(4)
/H. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 2416	/Seema S. Rao/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416